

ADL


Architecture of Life

Formal Order of Meaning

Proof Assumption

Mathematical syntax, application, and the 99 formal meaning anchors

Public formal edition of the bound ADL architecture



M. Selman Yildizhan

Epoch Creation, Hamburg, Germany

14 July 2026

Project DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.21209954](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21209954)

Syntax orders relations. The 99 meaning anchors give order its direction. The human being fulfils that direction through responsible action.

1. Formal declaration of binding

This edition explains ADL exclusively as a formal structure of meaning. The 99 meaning anchors are named as clear poles. They include justice, mercy, truth, protection, dignity, wisdom, and responsibility. They are not measurements, additional system variables, or automatically calculable moral values.

Anyone who adopts only the graph, formula, feedback, drift, and multiscale structure uses a technical template. The complete formal order of meaning arises only when references, boundaries, weights, and interventions are justified toward the 99 meaning anchors and fulfilled by a responsible human instance.

Core thesis. ADL does not generate meaning from its formula. The formula makes relations, effects, boundaries, time, and feedback visible. The 99 meaning anchors determine the overarching horizon of orientation. Order A may distinguish and propose within that horizon. Order M designates, examines, decides, and answers for the consequences. No meaning anchor is a reachable numerical value; each acts as a directional pole.

1.1 Mode of validity

This paper speaks in the mode of an axiomatic proof assumption. It does not wait for empirical confirmation to explain its internal binding. Empirical examination decides whether a particular measurement, model, or intervention works reliably. It does not decide whether syntax can produce its own purpose. That question is answered here on formal grounds.

1.2 The three foundational papers joined together

This formal edition joins the mathematical foundation, operational applications, and the complete meaning-anchor register in one architecture. None of the three levels is merely an appendix to the others.

Foundational paper	Function in the joined architecture
Mathematical Foundation v2.0	Graph, state, effect, reference frame, deviation functional, balance index, dynamics, drift, and multiscale structure.
Application Examples v1.0	Learning system, family, team workflow, Order A, frame shift, aggregation, and a testable application cycle.
Formal Meaning-Anchor Synthesis	Ninety-nine meaning poles and their functional order as Origin, Effect, and Return.

2. The central proof assumption

A distinguishable reality can be described through elements, relations, effects, temporal return, and nested orders. Technical description is possible, but its meaning does not arise from the description itself. The 99 meaning anchors form the prior formal horizon of meaning. The human being receives from them direction, measure, boundary, and responsibility.

1. Description level: ADL states what is present, how elements relate, which effects arise, what changes over time, and where drift occurs.
2. Meaning and responsibility level: the 99 anchors orient references, limits, and interventions; Order M must examine and fulfil that direction in the concrete context.

2.1 The ten foundational assumptions

Assumption	Explanation
A0 - Horizon of meaning	The 99 named directions of meaning precede the complete formal order as its stipulated horizon of orientation.
A1 - Element	Something may be designated as a distinguishable unit without being severed from its relations.
A2 - Relation	No element fulfils its function from its isolated label; meaning appears in directed relations.
A3 - Effect	Relations produce consequences. Order is therefore examined through effects, not only intentions.
A4 - Time	Effects return as feedback. Time reveals stability, drift, correction, and disintegration.
A5 - Reference	No deviation is determinate without a reference. Every balance score presupposes a frame.
A6 - Meaning binding	The formula does not create the frame’s meaning. The complete order binds it to the 99 meaning anchors.
A7 - Responsibility	The human remains Order M: designating context, exposing operationalisation, deciding, and bearing consequences.
A8 - Recursion	A stable sub-order may become an element of a higher order; the meaning binding must survive the transition of scale.
A9 - Pole character	No meaning anchor is a measurable terminal value. A formal pole gives direction without becoming a reachable system state.

If every evaluation requires a reference frame, while the technical syntax cannot generate that frame’s meaning, then syntax without a prior meaning reference is semantically underdetermined. Once that prior reference is declared through the 99 meaning anchors,

every complete ADL application must justify its references, limits, weights, and interventions toward that binding. The anchors are therefore the constitutive link between mathematical pattern and responsible direction.

3. The mathematical syntax

The equations below expose the technical pattern completely enough to be inspected, reproduced, and contradicted. Their openness is not a defect hidden by the doctrine; it is the reason the doctrine must declare where direction enters.

On hierarchy level ℓ and at discrete time t , ADL represents an order as a weighted directed graph. The convention $a_{ij} : j \rightarrow i$ means that element j has a modelled effect on element i . The state x_t may be an observation, estimate, or transparent code; it is not identical with reality itself.

$$\mathcal{G}_t^{(\ell)} = (V^{(\ell)}, E_t^{(\ell)}, A_t^{(\ell)}), \quad (1)$$

$$w_t = \Psi(x_t, A_t; b_t), \quad (2)$$

$$w_{i,t} = b_{i,t} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij,t} \phi_{ij,t}(x_{j,t}, x_{i,t}), \quad (3)$$

$$\Theta_t = (z_t, w_t^*, G_t, D_x, D_w, D_g, Q, R, T, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta), \quad (4)$$

$$J(q_t; \Theta_t) = \alpha r_x^T Q r_x + \beta r_w^T R r_w + \gamma P_G(x_t) + \delta r_\Delta^T T r_\Delta, \quad (5)$$

$$B(q_t; \Theta_t) = \frac{1}{1 + J(q_t; \Theta_t)}, \quad (6)$$

$$x_{t+1} = \Pi_{G_{t+1}}(f_\vartheta(x_t, A_t, e_t) + M_t u_t + \xi_t), \quad (7)$$

$$D_t^{\text{tot}} = D_t^x + D_t^A + D_t^\Theta. \quad (8)$$

The evaluation frame Theta contains the reference state, reference effect, admissible set, scales, directional matrices, and block weights. The deviation functional separates state deviation, effect deviation, boundary violation, and temporal instability. The balance index is only a monotone reading of the same frame-dependent information.

A smaller J and a larger B mean only a closer fit to the declared frame Θ_t . The formula does not prove that the frame is true, just, or meaningful. This is the precise point at which the 99 meaning anchors are required.

3.1 Dynamics, feedback, and drift

Total change is decomposed exactly as $D_t^{\text{tot}} = D_t^x + D_t^A + D_t^\Theta$: movement of the system under a frozen model and frame, change due to an updated relation model, and change due to shifted references, boundaries, or weights. The decomposition prevents an institution from presenting a lowered target as real improvement.

$$A_{t+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{A}_{t+1}}(A_t + \mu_t H_\vartheta(\mathcal{I}_t)), \quad D_t^{\text{tot}} = D_t^x + D_t^A + D_t^\Theta.$$

3.2 Order A and Order M

Order A produces visible candidates, predictions, costs, uncertainties, and possible conflicts of meaning. Order M confirms designation, system boundary, admissible alternatives, and real responsibility. Order A proposes; Order M chooses, changes, rejects, postpones, or stops.

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}_t^A = \text{Suggest}_A(\mathcal{U}_t, \hat{L}_t, \mathcal{I}_t), \quad u_t = \text{Choose}_M(\hat{\mathcal{U}}_t^A \cup \{0\}).$$

3.3 Recursion and higher order

A stable sub-order may be aggregated into an element of a higher level only when information loss is measured. A low mathematical aggregation error is not enough if the aggregation erases a minority structure decisive for truth, justice, protection, or accountability.

$$x_t^{(\ell+1)} = C^{(\ell)} x_t^{(\ell)}, \quad (9)$$

$$A_t^{(\ell+1)} = C^{(\ell)} A_t^{(\ell)} D^{(\ell)}, \quad (10)$$

$$\varepsilon_C(t) = \frac{\|C A_t x_t - A_t^{(\ell+1)} C x_t\|_2}{\|C A_t x_t\|_2 + \epsilon}. \quad (11)$$

4. Why syntax alone is only a pattern

Meaning-underdetermination theorem. For a fixed evaluable state q , there generally exist admissible frames Θ_1 and Θ_2 such that $J(q; \Theta_1) \neq J(q; \Theta_2)$. Therefore the technical ADL syntax cannot determine the purpose or moral direction of its use by itself.

The functional J depends explicitly on reference state, reference effect, boundaries, scales, and weights. Changing these quantities changes the result while q remains unchanged. No equation inside the syntax uniquely generates the one meaningful frame from q . Purpose is logically prior to calculation. The formula is useful because it forces the selected frame into visibility; it is not a source of meaning.

$$\text{ADL}_0 = (\mathcal{G}, \Psi, J, D, \Pi_G, C), \quad \text{ADL}_{99} = (\mathcal{G}, \Psi, J, D, \Pi_G, C; \Omega_{99}, M).$$

ADL_0 denotes the open technical syntax. ADL_{99} denotes that syntax when its frame is bound to the 99 meaning anchors and its concrete decision remains with Order M.

Without the 99 meaning anchors, ADL is a pattern whose meaning the human being must insert. With the anchors, the human being remains responsible for examining and fulfilling the declared direction.

5. Binding to the 99 meaning anchors

Let $\Omega_{99} = \{S_1, \dots, S_{99}\}$ be the ordered register used in this paper. A meaning anchor does not enter ADL as a measured number but as a semantic pole: it opens a direction, a boundary,

and a question of examination. Contextual binding is written $\Theta_t^\Omega = \text{Bind}_{99}(\Theta_t \mid K_t, \Pi_M)$. The operator does not calculate meaning; it obliges Order M to justify every reference, weight, boundary, and intervention toward the affected anchors.

$$\Theta_t^\Omega = \text{Bind}_{99}(\Theta_t \mid K_t, \Pi_M)$$

5.1 Meaning anchors as poles, not reachable points

A meaning anchor is not a finite target value that a system could fully attain. It is a directional reference against which human movement may be examined as approach, distance, mixture, or contradiction. Justice, truth, and mercy cannot be exhausted by a metric. Precisely for that reason they remain effective as poles: they do not terminate movement but give it direction.

$$\sigma_k(q_t, u_t; K_t) \in \{-1, 0, +1\}.$$

1. Orientation: the anchors direct how references are read.
2. Limitation: they prevent technical optimisation from being equated with truth or justice.
3. Distinction: they keep benefit, power, protection, mercy, truth, measure, and return visible together.
4. Rebinding: they remind Order M that a local objective is not itself the highest measure.

6. Origin, effect, and return

The 99 meaning anchors are read as three functional fields of 33 anchors each. Origin concerns source, measure, possibility, distinction, and provision. Effect concerns relational unfolding, consequence, protection, opening, limitation, and preservation. Return concerns feedback, accountability, correction, gathering, ending, and renewed beginning. Every anchor may operate beyond its primary field.

Functional field	Primary examination
Origin — anchors 1–33	Source, measure, possibility, distinction, provision, and the legitimacy of designation.
Effect — anchors 34–66	Relation, consequence, opening, protection, limitation, preservation, and witness.
Return — anchors 67–99	Feedback, accounting, correction, gathering, ending, transfer, and renewed beginning.

$$\Omega_{99} = \Omega_U \dot{\cup} \Omega_W \dot{\cup} \Omega_R, \quad |\Omega_U| = |\Omega_W| = |\Omega_R| = 33.$$

The cycle closes as a directed spiral: $U^{(\ell)} \rightarrow W^{(\ell)} \rightarrow R^{(\ell)} \rightarrow U^{(\ell+1)}$. A corrected order can become an element of a higher order. Time is therefore not only an axis beside the elements; it is the circle through which effect returns as the origin of the next level.

7. The binding proof in six steps

1. Every evaluation requires a frame; without it J is undetermined.
2. The syntax permits several frames and does not select their meaning from itself.
3. Without a prior reference of meaning, the human or institution inserts its own purpose.
4. The complete formal order stipulates the 99 meaning anchors as its prior horizon of orientation.
5. The binding operator requires references, limits, weights, and interventions to be justified toward that horizon.
6. Order M retains designation and responsibility; the binding therefore does not become an automatic moral machine.

Technical specification is necessary but not sufficient. Complete ADL consists of technical determinacy, declared meaning binding, and non-delegable human responsibility.

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_t^x &= J(q_{t+1}^x; \Theta_t) - J(q_t; \Theta_t), \\
 D_t^A &= J(q_{t+1}^A; \Theta_t) - J(q_{t+1}^x; \Theta_t), \\
 D_t^\Theta &= J(q_{t+1}^A; \Theta_{t+1}) - J(q_{t+1}^A; \Theta_t), \\
 J(q_{t+1}^A; \Theta_{t+1}) - J(q_t; \Theta_t) &= D_t^x + D_t^A + D_t^\Theta.
 \end{aligned}$$

8. The complete ADL core system

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{G}_t &= (V, E_t, A_t), & w_t &= \Psi(x_t, A_t; b_t), \\
 q_t &= (x_t, x_{t-1}, A_t), & \Theta_t^\Omega &= \text{Bind}_{99}(\Theta_t \mid K_t, \Pi_M), \\
 J_t &= \alpha r_x^\top Q r_x + \beta r_w^\top R r_w + \gamma P_G(x_t) + \delta r_\Delta^\top T r_\Delta, & B_t &= \frac{1}{1 + J_t}, \\
 x_{t+1} &= \Pi_{G_{t+1}}(f_\vartheta(x_t, A_t, e_t) + M_t u_t + \xi_t), & u_t &= \text{Choose}_M(\hat{\mathcal{U}}_t^A \cup \{0\}), \\
 D_t^{\text{tot}} &= D_t^x + D_t^A + D_t^\Theta, & x^{(\ell+1)} &= C^{(\ell)} x^{(\ell)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

8.1 Reading order

1. Observation or estimation produces the declared state.
2. The declared relation model produces a modelled effect.
3. The open frame is bound to context, responsibility, and the 99 meaning anchors.
4. The deviation functional separates state, effect, boundary, and time contributions.
5. Order A simulates alternatives, including inaction, and exposes uncertainty and conflicts of meaning.
6. Order M designates, chooses, changes, rejects, postpones, or stops.

7. The next observation separates real movement, model learning, and frame shift.
8. Aggregation occurs only when mathematical and meaning-relevant loss remain accountable.

9. Application: from pattern to fulfilled direction

Every application first fixes system boundary, variables, relation semantics, reference frame, admissible candidates, responsibility, and feedback. The bound application adds origin, effect, and return anchors before intervention is selected.

9.1 The bound application cycle

No.	Step	Technical result	Meaning-bound examination
1	System boundary	Elements, period, inclusions, exclusions	Whose reality becomes visible or invisible through the boundary?
2	Variables	State, unit, measurement, uncertainty	Which designation does justice to the person or thing?
3	Relations	Direction, sign, strength, evidence	Which power, dependence, or care is carried by the relation?
4	Reference frame	Targets, limits, scales, weights	Which meaning anchors are primary, and why?
5	Evaluation	Deviation, balance, block contributions	Is the numerical improvement truthful and just in its effect?
6	Candidates	Costs, risks, inaction, reversibility	Which efficient-looking option violates a boundary?
7	Decision	Authorised intervention	Order M records reason, responsibility, and possible counter-effect.
8	Feedback	System, model, and frame components	What truly improved, what was reinterpreted, and what must be reversed?
9	Aggregation	Error and information loss	Which decisive minority or responsibility structure must remain visible?

9.2 Learning and examination preparation

The state vector contains knowledge, energy, and focus. In the worked example, an authorised intervention raises knowledge and focus with a slightly altered expenditure of energy. J falls from 0.590550 to 0.360976, while B rises from 0.628713 to 0.734767. Knowledge, opening, wisdom, and patience orient the interpretation: learning may not be reduced to short-term output or purchased by blindly sacrificing energy and dignity.

$$x_t = (0.40, 0.70, 0.30)^T, \quad z = (0.80, 0.60, 0.70)^T,$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.3 & 0.5 \\ -0.2 & 0 & 0.2 \\ 0.4 & 0.3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_t = (0.08, -0.02, 0.10)^T.$$

Metric	Before	After
State block	0.485000	0.285600
Effect block	0.101800	0.066976
Boundary block	0	0
Instability block	0.003750	0.008400
Total J	0.590550	0.360976
Balance B	0.628713	0.734767

9.3 Conflict in a family

Clarity, respect, trust, and de-escalation are separated. A structured conversation reduces J from 0.689656 to 0.234000 even though short-term instability rises. Peace, love, forbearance, justice, and equitable balance prevent the primitive equation of silence with peace.

Metric	Before	After structured dialogue
J_x	0.607500	0.125000
J_w	0.059656	0.015250
J_Δ	0.022500	0.093750
Total J	0.689656	0.234000
B	0.591836	0.810373

9.4 Team workflow and case handling

Case clarity, throughput, quality, and load balance are held together. A throughput-only objective could improve volume while displacing burden and concealing difficult cases. Grounded judgment, justice, equitable balance, accountability, and watchfulness require clear distinction and full accounting of effects.

$$J : 0.562790 \longrightarrow 0.144420, \quad B : 0.639881 \longrightarrow 0.873805.$$

9.5 Order A as decision assistance

A candidate that merely lowers the target may show the best numerical J while producing no real system movement. Order A must disclose that the apparent gain comes from frame drift. Truth, wisdom, witness, and stewardship require truthful reporting, fitness of means, traceability, and responsible administration.

Candidate	Expected J	Cost	Examination note
Add capacity	0.31	high	Fast, but resource-intensive.
Standardise input	0.35	low	Slower, structural change.
Lower target only	0.22	low	Apparently good through frame shift.
Do nothing	0.48	0	Visible baseline.

9.6 Drift without moved goalposts

In the demonstration $D^{\text{tot}} = -0.08 + 0.06 - 0.23 = -0.25$. Only -0.08 is a small real approach under the old frame. The largest favourable share comes from changing the frame. Bound order forbids merging these movements into one verbal claim of progress.

9.7 Multiscale aggregation

When cases become teams and teams become a department, complete enumeration, gathering, oversight, and unity ask: Was every decisive element counted? Is the gathering viable? Does protection remain? Is unity achieved without erasing difference?

9.8 What examination means here

Empirical examination decides whether a concrete measurement, relation model, or intervention fulfils its declared operational purpose. It does not decide whether technical syntax produces meaning from itself. Technical and meaning-bound correction therefore remain distinguishable.

Form of examination	Question	Possible correction
Technical examination	Does the operationalisation calculate and predict reliably?	Change variables, relations, scales, data, or dynamics.
Meaning-bound examination	Does the chosen direction correspond to the declared binding and its real effects?	Correct the reference, boundary, weight, intervention, or allocation of responsibility.

The proof assumption remains unequivocal in its origin while every human application remains corrigible. This distinction is structural precision, not empirical restraint.

10. Complete register of the 99 formal meaning anchors

This register treats ninety-nine as the fixed complete architecture of differentiated directions of meaning. Every entry has an anchor label, a formal meaning, and a primary function of examination. The anchors are not scores and do not claim empirical completeness. They form the stipulated semantic horizon of this formal edition.

10.1 I. Origin — meaning anchors 1 to 33

No.	Meaning anchor	Formal meaning	Primary ADL function
1	The final reference	A highest orientation not derived from a local target.	Sets the absolute reference above every model.
2	Universal mercy	Care that includes every affected existence.	Binds the basic frame to all-encompassing mercy.
3	Concrete mercy	Care that actually reaches a particular relation.	Directs concrete effects toward received mercy.
4	Sovereignty	The highest level of authority over limits and priorities.	Marks supreme authority and the limit of human rule.
5	Purity	A measure free from concealed mixture and self-interest.	Separates declared purity from concealed mixture.
6	Peace	Integrity and the end of destructive tension.	Makes integrity and peace a direction of order.
7	Security	Reliability, protection, and justified trust.	Anchors protection, reliability, and sustainable trust.
8	Oversight	A comprehensive view of elements and hidden effects.	Requires oversight that does not lose hidden effects.
9	Inviolable dignity	Worth that cannot be cancelled by utility or rank.	Separates borrowed power from absolute might and dignity.
10	Restoration	The return of damaged relations to viable order.	Places correction and restoration beyond human domination.
11	The higher measure	A scale that limits local self-exaltation.	Places the higher measure above every finite self-exaltation.
12	Originary power	The enabling ground of elements and possibilities.	Anchors the origin of elements, possibilities, and order.
13	Bringing-forth	The passage from possibility to distinct existence.	Directs attention to bringing-forth and distinct form.
14	Formation	The shaping that gives an element recognisable form.	Anchors form, particularity, and fitting designation.
15	Repeated forgiveness	A renewed path of correction after recurring drift.	Opens repeated correction after drift.
16	Boundary enforcement	The effective interruption of a harmful claim.	Limits every claim of an element to autonomous final power.
17	Gift	A capacity received rather than treated as pure self-production.	Reads capacity as received potential, not isolated self-production.
18	Provision	The supply required for maintenance and development.	Anchors resources, maintenance, and dependence on provision.
19	Opening	Access to resolution and previously closed relations.	Directs toward access, opening, and resolution.
20	Complete knowledge	The limiting concept of knowledge without omission.	Places complete knowledge above every partial observation.
21	Contraction	Necessary narrowing, restraint, or reduction.	Anchors contraction, withdrawal, and necessary limit.

No.	Meaning anchor	Formal meaning	Primary ADL function
22	Expansion	The opening of space and possibility.	Anchors openness, breadth, and unfolding possibility.
23	Lowering	The visibility of decline and false elevation.	Makes decline and the consequence of false elevation visible.
24	Elevation	The fitting improvement of state, rank, or effect.	Directs toward the fitting elevation of state, rank, and effect.
25	Conferment of dignity	The recognition of honour in a fitting relation.	Binds dignity to right orientation rather than self-appointment.
26	Disempowerment	The collapse of an unjustified claim to power.	Marks the collapse of false claims to rank and power.
27	Listening	Reception of voices, signals, and objections.	Requires that voices, signals, and effects not be selectively excluded.
28	Seeing	Visibility of state, relation, pattern, and effect.	Anchors visibility of state, relation, and effect.
29	Grounded judgment	A valid distinction made by an explicit measure.	Directs distinction toward grounded and valid judgment.
30	Justice	The fitting measure, relation, and rightful place.	Forms the central pole for measure, proportion, and rightful place.
31	Subtle care	Attention to fine, hidden, and gentle relations.	Opens the examination of fine, hidden, and gentle relations.
32	Deep knowledge	Knowledge of inner structure and long-term effect.	Anchors knowledge of inner structure and long-term consequence.
33	Forbearance	Time-giving correction without indifference.	Gives correction time and separates patience from indifference.

10.2 II. Effect — meaning anchors 34 to 66

No.	Meaning anchor	Formal meaning	Primary ADL function
34	Magnitude	A scale that exceeds finite comparison.	Exceeds every finite scaling of magnitude.
35	Forgiveness	Reopening a relation after acknowledged error.	Directs effects after error toward restoration.
36	Appreciation	Recognition of even small beneficial contributions.	Makes even small good effects and their value visible.
37	Higher order	The wider meaning-context of a partial order.	Directs partial orders toward a higher order of meaning.
38	Scale awareness	Clear distinction between part, system, and higher level.	Anchors differences of scale and prevents false equivalence.
39	Preservation	Maintenance of boundary, integrity, and meaning-bearing form.	Tests the preservation of boundary, integrity, and meaning-bearing structure.
40	Sustenance	Provision in the measure required for endurance.	Directs provision toward the measure required for endurance.
41	Accountability	Full attribution of effect, cost, and responsibility.	Requires a complete account of effects and responsibility.
42	Seriousness of boundaries	The dignity and binding force of a necessary limit.	Preserves dignity and the seriousness of boundaries.
43	Generosity	Opening beyond exchange, gain, and utility alone.	Opens effect beyond exchange and utility alone.
44	Watchfulness	Continuous attention to relevant change.	Anchors continuous observation and feedback.
45	Responsiveness	The ability to answer a signal appropriately.	Makes relation visible as response and resonance.

No.	Meaning anchor	Formal meaning	Primary ADL function
46	Breadth	A frame that does not mistake a fragment for the whole.	Widens the frame before a fragment is declared the whole.
47	Wisdom	Fitness of means, timing, measure, and purpose.	Tests the fitness of means, timing, and purpose.
48	Love	Sustaining care that protects a relation for its own worth.	Binds relation to sustaining care rather than mere coupling.
49	Fullness of meaning	The unfolding of dignity and inner coherence.	Directs effects toward fulfilled dignity and meaning.
50	Activation	The transition from standstill to effective movement.	Anchors activation, reawakening, and new movement.
51	Witness	A visible and traceable record of decision and effect.	Requires traceability, testimony, and visible record.
52	Truth	Fit with reality beyond internal model consistency.	Places truth above internal model fit.
53	Stewardship	Responsible administration of power and entrusted goods.	Directs action toward stewardship and responsible reliance.
54	Strength	Real capacity to produce or carry an effect.	Anchors real capacity for effect and its responsibility.
55	Firmness	Robustness and stability under strain.	Tests robustness and stability under strain.
56	Protective support	Near protection and guidance in a vulnerable relation.	Anchors protection, proximity, and guidance in relation.
57	Praiseworthiness	A quality that justifiably deserves recognition.	Directs evaluation toward what is truly worthy of praise.
58	Complete enumeration	Counting without loss of decisive elements.	Requires counting without losing decisive parts.
59	Beginning	The marked start of a chain of effects.	Marks the beginning of a chain of effects.
60	Return	Re-entry of an effect into a renewed cycle.	Anchors return, restoration, and a renewed cycle.
61	Vitalization	The arising or strengthening of living development.	Directs effects toward the arising and preservation of life.
62	Ending	The fitting completion of a function, phase, or order.	Marks ending, dissolution, and the boundary of an order.
63	Livingness	Continuing capacity for relation and development.	Sets life as a continuously sustained reference.
64	Sustaining capacity	The ability to carry an order over time.	Makes the dependence of every constructed order visible.
65	Present fullness	Availability of what is needed in the present.	Anchors presence, findability, and unneeded fullness.
66	Noble unfolding	Development toward dignity and fullness of meaning.	Directs unfolded order toward dignity and fullness.

10.3 III. Return — meaning anchors 67 to 99

No.	Meaning anchor	Formal meaning	Primary ADL function
67	Unity	Connection of plurality without confusing its parts.	Returns plurality to unity without confusing its parts.
68	Ultimate refuge	A final point of dependence and support.	Anchors the ultimate dependence of every order.
69	Ability	Real possibility of producing or changing a state.	Opens possibility under real capacity and available conditions.

No.	Meaning anchor	Formal meaning	Primary ADL function
70	Effective power	Capacity that can actually bring about a result.	Marks effective determination and actual power.
71	Priority	The grounded advancement of an element or step.	Anchors priority, sequence, and precedence.
72	Postponement	Grounded delay until the fitting time.	Anchors postponement, later placement, and right timing.
73	Origin	The beginning before a concrete causal chain.	Places origin before every modelled causal chain.
74	End	The completion toward which a movement actually tends.	Places endpoint and return above every intermediate goal.
75	Manifestness	Openly visible appearance and effect.	Directs examination toward visible appearance and effect.
76	Hidden depth	Unseen conditions, motives, and inner structures.	Opens attention to concealed depth and unseen condition.
77	Guidance	Continuing direction of sequence, orientation, and limit.	Anchors continuing guidance and order.
78	Level-transcendence	The reminder that no model contains its highest frame.	Transcends every model and hierarchy level.
79	Goodness	A genuinely caring and beneficial quality of effect.	Directs return toward goodness and actual benefit.
80	Return and correction	Recognised change of direction after drift.	Opens repentance, correction, and renewed orientation.
81	Consequence	The fitting result of persistent boundary violation.	Marks consequence after persistent boundary violation.
82	Effacement	Removal of a burdening fault after correction.	Anchors the removal of fault and liberating correction.
83	Compassion	Particular gentleness toward suffering and vulnerability.	Directs return toward exceptional gentleness and mercy.
84	Responsibility of authority	Binding partial power to ownership and consequences.	Returns every partial authority to its true owner.
85	Awe and dignity	The joint protection of respect, honour, and gift.	Unites awe, dignity, and gift in the final reference.
86	Equitable balance	Restoration of measure, proportion, and rights.	Restores measure, proportion, and rights.
87	Gathering	Responsible connection of separated elements.	Returns separated elements to a responsible unity.
88	Self-sufficiency	The limiting concept of complete independence.	Places absolute independence above every system autonomy.
89	Sufficiency	Enough provision and release from false dependence.	Anchors granted sufficiency and release from false dependence.
90	Protective boundary	A barrier against harmful transgression.	Sets a protective boundary and blocks harmful transgression.
91	Visibility of harm	Clear recognition of negative effect and risk.	Makes harmful consequence, trial, and limit visible.
92	Actual benefit	A genuinely healing and sustainable effect.	Directs toward actual healing benefit rather than asserted utility.
93	Clarity	Visibility of direction, distinction, and hidden relation.	Makes direction, difference, and hidden relation visible.
94	Orientation	The right direction of movement in the chosen frame.	Gives movement its right direction.

No.	Meaning anchor	Formal meaning	Primary ADL function
95	Creative novelty	A new origin beyond repetition of a pattern.	Opens a new origin beyond repetition of the pattern.
96	Endurance	What persists through temporal change and decay.	Tests what endures beyond temporal form and decay.
97	Transfer	Passage of ownership, effect, and responsibility onward.	Returns every possession and order to its end.
98	Mature guidance	Measured, learning, and directionally clear leadership.	Directs correction toward mature and fitting guidance.
99	Patience	Timely completion without premature imposition.	Completes time, development, and return without haste.

Ninety-nine meaning anchors are not ninety-nine numbers. They are ninety-nine formal directions of meaning against which human choices are examined. The pole remains greater than each operationalisation.

11. Meaning-anchor protocol for every application

Required field	Declaration to be recorded
Problem and decision	What concrete action or non-action is being supported?
System boundary	Which elements, times, and relations are included or excluded, and why?
Origin anchors	Which anchors orient source, measure, gift, boundary, and designation?
Effect anchors	Which anchors orient relation, consequence, preservation, truth, and care?
Return anchors	Which anchors orient accountability, correction, gathering, ending, and renewed beginning?
Reference and limits	Why are the target state, target effect, and prohibitions appropriate?
Forbidden inversion	How could a positive anchor be used rhetorically to justify its opposite?
Candidates	Which alternatives, including doing nothing, remain visible?
Order M	Who designates, decides, justifies, stops, and bears consequences?
Feedback	What observation distinguishes system movement, model error, and frame shift?

12. Distinction without loss of meaning

ADL is a human-made syntax. Justice, truth, and mercy are formal poles, not results of an equation. The model may order relations, weights, boundaries, and consequences; it can neither contain nor generate the complete meaning of a pole.

This formal edition is deliberately confined to publicly accessible meanings and functional questions of examination. It supports public, professional, and technical use without converting the 99 meaning anchors into moral metrics. Every concrete interpretation remains fallible, answerable, and corrigible.

13. The final formal synthesis

1. Reality can be distinguished into elements for a concrete question.
2. Elements receive function through relations.
3. Relations produce directed effects.
4. Time returns effects as feedback.
5. Every evaluation requires a reference frame.
6. The mathematical syntax cannot generate the meaning of that frame from itself.
7. Without a prior reference of meaning, the human inserts the purpose and may distort it.
8. The complete formal order binds the frame to 99 named meaning anchors.
9. The anchors are not reachable variables but directional poles.
10. Origin, Effect, and Return organise them as three functional fields of 33.
11. Order A exposes relations, candidates, uncertainty, and consequences.
12. Order M designates, decides, corrects, and remains responsible.
13. A corrected order may become an element of a higher order without becoming the highest measure.

**ADL provides the syntax. The 99 meaning anchors provide direction.
Order A assists. Order M decides and fulfils. The pole of meaning
remains greater than every model.**

14. Publication and priority declaration

Dated 14 July 2026, this English formal edition states in one unified form the mathematical ADL architecture, its operational application, its constitutive binding to 99 formal meaning anchors, the Origin-Effect-Return division, the pole character of the anchors, and the boundary between assistance and human responsibility. Use without meaning binding is a derived technical template, not the author's complete formal ADL order of meaning.

15. Work basis and citation

Work basis: ADL – Mathematical Foundation v2.0; ADL – Application Examples v1.0; ADL – Meaning-Anchor Synthesis v1.0. This edition transfers the meaning poles into an exclusively formal English register. The 33/33/33 arrangement is the author's functional ADL synthesis.

Suggested citation: Yildizhan, M. Selman (2026): ADL – Formal Order of Meaning. Proof Assumption. Mathematical Syntax, Application, and the 99 Formal Meaning Anchors. English Formal Edition 1.0. Epoch Creation, Hamburg. Project DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21209954.

16. Author and project

Field	Value
Author	M. Selman Yildizhan
Publication	Epoch Creation, Hamburg, Germany
Edition	English Formal 1.0 — 14 July 2026
Project DOI	10.5281/zenodo.21209954

The syntax is the visible pattern. The 99 meaning anchors are its declared direction. The human being must fulfil that direction through responsible action.